

DAC

14

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

BAHAR et al.

Serial No. 09/209,932

Filed: July 8, 1998

For: AN ULTRA-THIN INTEGRAL
COMPOSITE MEMBRANE



Art Unit: 1771

Examiner: B. COPENHEAVER

Atty Dkt: 0769-4582 US1

RECEIVED

SEP 22 2000

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

RECEIVED
SEP 27 2000
TC 1700 MAIL ROOM

REQUEST TO WITHDRAW NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

BOX DAC

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The applicants hereby request that the P.T.O. withdraw the Notice of Abandonment mailed September 1, 2000 (copy enclosed).

An Amendment, four terminal disclaimers, and a Petition for Extension of Time (three months) were filed July 21, 2000 in a timely manner (enclosed).

The applicants also enclose (i) page 171 from the firm log book for P.T.O. filings which shows filing of these documents on July 21, 2000, and (ii) the date stamped post card.

Therefore, the Notice of Abandonment was issued in error. The P.T.O. did not contact the undersigned, or the applicants, before issuing the Notice, and therefore, no opportunity was provided to have this error corrected before abandonment occurred.


The applicants appreciate the cooperation of Examiner Copenheaver in a telephone

discussion, September 14, 2000. The Examiner suggested the applicants fax this request to 703-872-9469. A prompt response to this request, and timely consideration of the enclosed Amendment, is respectfully requested. A telephone call to the undersigned is respectfully requested when prosecution has resumed.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, if necessary or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4500. Because the applicants believe that this error was a P.T.O. error, however, the applicants respectfully request that they not be charged any fee.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, LLP



J. Steven Rutt

Reg. No. 40,153

Dated: September 20, 2000

SENDER'S ADDRESS:

MORGAN & FINNEGAN

345 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10154

Tel: (202) 857-7887

Fax: (202) 857-7929



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/209,932 07/08/98 BAHAR B 0769-4582-US

IM62/0901

DENA MEYER WELER
W L GORE & ASSOCIATES
551 PAPER MILL ROAD
NEWARK DE 19714-9206

DATE REC'D: 9/6/00

DOCKETING REQ'D: YES / NO

cc: ATTY

MASTER

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

EXAMINER

COPENHEAVER, B.

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

09/01/00

This application is abandoned in view of:

- ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on _____
- ☐ A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) was received on _____, which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
- ☐ A proposed response was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection.
- (A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC).)
- ☒ No response has been received.
- ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance.
- ☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) was received on _____.
- ☐ The submitted issue fee of \$ _____ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ _____.
- ☐ The issue fee has not been received.
- ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) were received on _____.
- ☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed _____ are not acceptable.
- ☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.
- ☐ The express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on _____.
- ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
- ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity, under 37 CFR 1.34(a) upon the filing of a continuing application.
- ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
- ☐ The reason(s) below:

BLAINE COPENHEAVER
PRIMARY EXAMINER



Case No.: 0769-4582 US1 JSR/meu
Applicant: BAHAR et al. Serial No. 09/209,932 Filed: July 8, 1998
Entitled: ULTRA-THIN INTEGRAL COMPOSITE MEMBRANE
Due Date: July 21, 2000
Date This Paper is being Filed: July 21, 2000

The return of this post card, properly stamped, will acknowledge receipt in the Patent & Trademark Office of the following:

1. Amendment (15 pages)
2. Terminal Disclaimer (S.N. 09/137,515)
3. Terminal Disclaimer (S.N. 09/542,864)
4. Terminal Disclaimer (Patent No. 5,547,551)
5. Terminal Disclaimer (Patent No. 5,599,614)



15794_1

Case No.: 0769-4582 US1 JSR/meu
Applicant: BAHAR et al. Serial No. 09/209,932 Filed: July 8, 1998
Entitled: ULTRA-THIN INTEGRAL COMPOSITE MEMBRANE
Due Date: July 21, 2000
Date This Paper is being Filed: July 21, 2000

The return of this post card, properly stamped, will acknowledge receipt in the Patent & Trademark Office of the following:

1. Amendment (15 pages)
2. Terminal Disclaimer (S.N. 09/137,515)
3. Terminal Disclaimer (S.N. 09/542,864)
4. Terminal Disclaimer (Patent No. 5,547,551)
5. Terminal Disclaimer (Patent No. 5,599,614)



RECEIVED
SEP 27 2000
TC 1700 MAIL ROOM

15794_1

[illegible]